Y. Sun, V. Morgunov, A.G. Chmielewski

## MODELING STUDY OF NOX REMOVAL IN FLUE GAS IN THE PRESENCE OF $C_2H_6$ UNDER ELECTRON BEAM IRRADIATION

Electron Beam Flue Gas Treatment (EBFGT) technology has demonstrated its efficiency in purification flue gases from SO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> for coal and oil fired boilers [1]. High removal efficiency of SO<sub>2</sub> (> 95%) and NO<sub>x</sub>(>70%) has been demonstrated and industrial plant applying this process has been built in Poland [2]. However SO<sub>2</sub> removal from off-gases by using EB is relatively easy, but NO<sub>x</sub> removal needs higher energy consumption. It demands a new method to remove NOx with lower energy consumption. Our previous work showed that NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency was improved with the presence of alcohol [3]. In this work we theoretically studied NO<sub>x</sub> removal with presence of  $C_2H_6$  with the aid of computer simulation.

The computer simulation of NO<sub>x</sub> removal in flue gas under EB-irradiation was carried out by using selfdeveloped computer code "ELO", GEAR method was used. 883 reactions involving 94 species were considered for NO<sub>x</sub>+ (air +CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O) + C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> (0 – 400 ppm) system, and 998 reactions involving 137 species were considered for NO<sub>x</sub> + (air +CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O) + 400 ppm C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> + 700 ppm SO<sub>2</sub>. Five main groups of reactions were included, the rate constants of reactions were mostly taken from the literatures [4, 5, 6]. The units of rate constant are /s, m<sup>3</sup>/mole s and m<sup>6</sup>/mole<sup>2</sup> s for first-, second and third- order reactions, respectively.

When fast electrons from electron beams are absorbed in the carrier gas, they cause ionization and excitation process of the nitrogen,  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  molecules in the carrier gas. Primary species and secondary electrons are formed.

The generation of active species under the electron beam is described by [6]:  $\frac{dn_i}{dt} = G_{n_i} \dot{D} x_i \rho,$ (1)

where  $_{n_i}$  - concentration of i-th component, mole/m<sup>3</sup>;  $_{G_{n_i}}$  - radiation yield of the i-th component of the gas, mole/J;  $_{x_i}$  - mole fraction of i-th component;  $\dot{D}$  - dose rate, J/(kg·s);  $_{\rho}$  - gas density, kg/m<sup>3</sup>.

Kinetics of chemical reactions of species formed during the gas irradiation with molecules of the gas medium and with one another is described by differential equations:

 $\frac{dn_i}{dt} = n_i \sum_n k_i^{(n)} \prod_{k=1}^n n_k$ For given initial concentrations:  $n_i(0) = n_{i0}$ (2)
(3)

where,  $n_i$  - concentration of i-th component, mole/m<sup>3</sup>;  $k_i^{(n)}$  - the rate constant for n-order chemical reaction between the i-th and the k-components of gas;  $n_k$  - concentration of k-th component,  $n_{i0}$  - the initial concentration of the i-th component. Calculations were made in the following conditions:

• NO = 494 ppm, NO<sub>2</sub> = 38 ppm, CO<sub>2</sub> = 7 %, H<sub>2</sub>O = 10-11 % (v/v), O<sub>2</sub> = 10 %, N<sub>2</sub> as balance, T = 70  $^{0}$ C (no any additives);

• NO = 494 ppm, NO<sub>2</sub> = 38 ppm, CO<sub>2</sub> = 7 %, H<sub>2</sub>O = 10-11 % (v/v), O<sub>2</sub> = 10 %, N<sub>2</sub> as balance, T = 7 0  $^{0}$ C (with presence of 100 ppm and 400 ppm C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, respectively);

• NO = 494 ppm, NO<sub>2</sub> = 38 ppm, CO<sub>2</sub> = 7 %, H<sub>2</sub>O = 10-11 % (v/v), O<sub>2</sub> = 10 %, N<sub>2</sub> as balance, T = 70  $^{0}$ C (with presence of 700 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> and 400 ppm C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>).

Fig.1 presents calculation and experimental results of  $NO_x$  removal in flue gas vs. dose under EBirradiation. Calculation results agree with the experimental results [3] to some extent.  $NO_x$  removal under influence of additives is presented in Fig.2. It is seen that  $NO_x$  removal efficiency is slightly improved with the presence of  $C_2H_6$ . The key reactions are listed below:

$OH + C_2H_6 = C_2H_5 \bullet + H_2O$		(R1)
$O_2 + C_2 H_5 \bullet = C_2 H_5 O_2 \bullet$		(R2)
$2C_2H_5O_2\bullet = 2C_2H_5O\bullet + O_2$		(R3)
$C_2H_5O_2\bullet + NO = C_2H_5O\bullet + NO_2$	(R4)	
$C_2H_5O_2\bullet + NO + M = C_2H_5ONO_2 + M$	(R5)	
$C_2H_5O_2\bullet + NO_2 + M = C_2H_5O_2NO_2 + M$	(R6)	
$NO_2 + OH + M = HNO_3 + M$		(R7)
	1 1 1 0 1	

The oxidation–reduction cycle between  $NO_2$  and NO is toward the oxidation path and an increase in  $NO_x$  removal efficiency is favored.

From calculation results, following conclusions are drawn:

1. Removal efficiency of  $NO_x$  is increased by 3 % at a dose of 10.9 kGy with the presence of  $C_2H_6$  when concentration of  $C_2H_6$  is in the range of 100 ppm to 400 ppm.

2. Removal efficiency of  $NO_x$  is decreased by 23.84 % at a dose of 10.9 kGy with the presence of

400 ppm C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> and 700 ppm SO<sub>2</sub>. SO<sub>2</sub> presence decreases removal efficiency of NO<sub>x</sub> when ammonia is not added.

## References

[1]. Basfar A.A. et al: Fuel, <u>87</u>, 8-9, 1446-1452 (2008).

[2]. Chmielewski A.G. et al.: Radiat. Phys. Chem. 71, 1-2, 439-442 (2004).

[3]. Chmielewski A.G. et al.: Radiat. Phys. Chem. <u>65</u>, 4-5, 397-403 (2002).

[4]. Albritton, DL: At. Data Nucl. Data, 22, 1-101(1978)

[5]. http://kinetics.nist.gov/kinetics/index.jsp

[6]. Mätzing H.: Advances in Chemical Physics Volume LXXX, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New Jersey 1991, 315-402.

List title of figures

Fig.1 Experimental and calculation results of NOx removal from flue gas vs. dose under EB irradiation

Fig.2 Experimental and calculation results of NOx removal from flue gas vs. dose under EB irradiation with/without the presence of additives



